The commissioner of education’s final decisions regarding the 2016 state academic accountability system are now available online.

These decisions are based on recommendations from the Accountability Policy Advisory Committee (APAC) and Accountability Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC).

Selected chapters of the 2016 Accountability Manual will be released in April 2016, and the entire manual will be available online in late spring.

The final decision document and other relevant material are available at the following link:

http://tea.texas.gov/2016accountabilitydevelopment/
2016 Accountability

State Ratings

Index 4 Postsecondary Readiness

Index Targets

Assessments

Accountability Timeline

April, 2016
- Key Chapters (2-9) of the 2016 Accountability Manual Released

May, 2016
- Entire Accountability Manual Released

August 5, 2016
- Campus and District Ratings Released (TEASE)
- PEG List Released (TEASE)

August 12, 2016
- Campus and District Ratings Released (Public)
- PEG List Released (Public)

September 1, 2016
- Texas Commission on Next Generation Assessments and Accountability delivers report to governor and legislature

December 1, 2016
- TEA adopts a set indicators for A–F ratings

January 1, 2016
- TEA releases report showing the rating that each district and campus would have received for the 2015–16 school year if the A–F rating system had been in place

February 1, 2017
- PEG Transfer Notification to Parents
Ratings & Index Targets

2016 State Accountability Ratings Criteria

To attain a **Met Standard** rating, district and campuses must meet the target on the following indexes for which it has performance data in 2016.

```
Index 1 or Index 2 + Index 3 + Index 4 = Met Standard
```
### 2016 Targets

#### District and Campus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District/Campus Type</th>
<th>Index 1 Student Achievement</th>
<th>Index 2* Student Progress</th>
<th>Index 3* Closing Performance Gaps</th>
<th>Index 4 Postsecondary Readiness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target All Components Targets STAAR Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>60% 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>NA 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>NA 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School/K-12 Campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>60% 21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Index 2 and Index 3 targets for non AEA campuses will be set at about the fifth percentile of non AEA 2016 campus performance by campus type.

---

### 2016 Targets

#### Alternative Education Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District/Campus Type</th>
<th>Index 1 Student Achievement</th>
<th>Index 2* Student Progress</th>
<th>Index 3* Closing Performance Gaps</th>
<th>Index 4 Postsecondary Readiness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Target Two Components Target Graduation Dropout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA Campus</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>At or about the 5th percentile</td>
<td>33% 45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Index 2 and Index 3 targets for AEA campuses and charters will be set at about the fifth percentile of AEA 2016 campus performance across all campus types.
Performance Standards (2015-2016)
Adopted January 1, 2016

Final

New Standard Progression
Performance Standards

Small Incremental Increases Annually
### Impact of New Standard Progression

*Performance Standards*

#### 2016 Accountability Cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algebra I Student Example</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Summer 2015</th>
<th>Fall 2015</th>
<th>Spring 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student A</td>
<td>Re tester</td>
<td>Level II Phase in I 3500</td>
<td>Level II Phase in I 3500</td>
<td>Level II Phase in I 3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student B</td>
<td><strong>First Time Tester</strong> (1 exam/absent for previous eligible exam)</td>
<td>Level II Phase in I 3500</td>
<td>Level II Phase in I 3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student C</td>
<td><strong>First Time Tester</strong> (all exams)</td>
<td>Level II New Progression Standards 3550</td>
<td>Level II New Progression Standards 3550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Inclusion of 2016 STAAR Assessments
Assessments Included in State Accountability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Index 1</th>
<th>Index 2</th>
<th>Index 3</th>
<th>Index 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAAR English</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR Spanish</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR EOC</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR A</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR L</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAAR Alt 2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grades 3-8 mathematics included in 2016 accountability

---

Inclusion of English Language Learners

Parental Denials & ELLs without an ELL Progress Measure due to Year in US Schools Exceeding the ELL Plan Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in US Schools</th>
<th>Index 1</th>
<th>Index 2*</th>
<th>Index 3</th>
<th>Index 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First year of enrollment in U.S. schools</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year of enrollment in U.S. schools and higher</td>
<td>STAAR Satisfactory Standard</td>
<td>Student Progress Measure</td>
<td>STAAR Satisfactory &amp; Level III</td>
<td><a href="#">STAAR Final Level II</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Index 2 includes the appropriate student progress measure for which the ELL student was eligible, either the STAAR progress measure, ELL progress measure or the Spanish to English transition proxy calculation where applicable.
Inclusion of English Language Learners

*ELL’s Taking STAAR Alternate 2*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in US Schools</th>
<th>Index 1</th>
<th>Index 2*</th>
<th>Index 3</th>
<th>Index 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First year of enrollment in U.S. schools and higher</td>
<td>STAAR Level II</td>
<td>Student Progress Measure</td>
<td>STAAR Satisfactory Standard &amp; Level III</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Index 2 includes the appropriate student progress measure for which the ELL student was eligible, either the STAAR progress measure, ELL progress measure, or Spanish to English transition proxy calculation, where applicable.

ELLs who take the STAAR Alternate 2 are included in each index in the same manner as non ELLs who take STAAR Alternate 2.

---

Inclusion of State Assessments

*English Language Learners Years 2-4*

- ELL Students
  - Parental Denials
  - Exceed Number of Years in ELL Plan
  - STAAR L Students with no progress measure

  - Included in Index 4 After 1st year of enrollment in U.S. School
  - Included in Index 4 After 1st Year of enrollment in U.S. schools
  - Exclude these students' assessment results from accountability.
Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

Graduation Diploma Plans

2016 Accountability

- RHSP & DAP
- RHSP, DAP, FHSP-E & FHSP-DLA
- MHSP, RHSP & DAP
- MHSP, RHSP, DAP, FHSP, FHSP-E & FHSP-DLA

Calculate both and select the best result for district and campus points
Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

Annual Graduates meeting criteria in reading, and mathematics.
1. ACT
2. SAT
3. TSI * assessments taken while in high school

*For TSI portion, only use the reading and mathematics results for accountability. Writing report only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College and Career Ready Indicator</th>
<th>Met College Ready In Both ELA &amp; Math</th>
<th>Two or More Advanced Courses</th>
<th>CTE Coherent Sequence</th>
<th>College &amp; Career Ready Graduate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 Distinctions

- Grades 3-8 mathematics included
- STAAR A and STAAR Alt. 2 included
- College Readiness includes the TSI assessment for reading and mathematics
- No changes in the methodology for determining comparable groups.
Resources


Contacts

Division of Instructional, School Improvement, and College Readiness Support
Office of School Improvement, Accountability and Compliance

Dr. Eduardo Cancino, Deputy Director
956 984-6021
ecancino@esc1.net

Dr. Tina McIntyre, Administrator
956 984-6027
tmcintyre@esc1.net

Belinda S. Gorena, Coordinator
956 984-6173
bgorena@esc1.net

Ruben Degollado, Specialist
956 984-6185
rdegollado@esc1.net

Benjamin Macias, Evaluation and Assessment Specialist
956 984-6234
bmacias@esc1.net

Kelly VanHee, Specialist
956 984-6190
kkvanhee@esc1.net
Final Decisions for 2016 Accountability

This document presents the commissioner of education’s final decisions for 2016 accountability.

1. 2016 System Rigor

The overall design of the accountability system will remain the same, evaluating performance according to four indices:

Index 1: Student Achievement
Index 2: Student Progress
Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps
Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

Changes Affecting All Four Performance Indices

- **Increase in the student performance standards for STAAR grades 3–8 and end-of-course (EOC) general assessments**  
  By commissioner’s rule, the scheduled increase in 2015–16 to the Phase-in 2 Level II passing standard has been replaced with a standard progression approach which will begin in 2015–16 and continue until 2021–22, the year final Level II standards are scheduled to be in place.

- **Inclusion of grades 3–8 mathematics STAAR assessments**  
  The 2016 accountability system will include the performance results for grades 3–8 mathematics in all indices, including progress measure results for grades 3–8 mathematics, where applicable. The student performance standard for grades 3–8 mathematics will be the 2015–16 standard.

- **STAAR A results will be included in all indices, and STAAR Alternate 2 results will be included in Index 1, Index 2, and Index 3.**
  
  **Rationale:** Inclusion of STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 results in all applicable indices encourages districts to administer the appropriate assessments to students with disabilities regardless of the impact on state accountability ratings.

2. Accountability Ratings Criteria and Targets

Ratings Criteria Performance targets will be set for each index. In order to receive a *Met Standard* or *Met Alternative Standard* rating, all campuses and districts must meet the performance index target on the following indices if they have performance data for evaluation:

\[ \text{Index 1 OR Index 2 AND Index 3 AND Index 4} \]

**Rationale:** This recommendation reflects the original intent when the index framework was developed. Given that the progress measures will be reported for the first time on the STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 assessments in 2016, it is difficult to anticipate how these new progress measures will affect the Index 2 outcomes. This also addresses the concern with the limited availability of progress measures on the EOC assessments for high schools and K–12 campuses and districts.
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- **2016 Performance Index Targets** The performance index targets for 2016 are shown on the table on the following pages.

**Index Targets for Non-AEA Districts and Campuses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016 Index Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Index 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Components</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STAAR Component Only</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Districts</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Campuses</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High School/ K-12</strong></td>
<td>5th Percentile *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2016 Index 2 targets for non-AEA campuses will be set at about the fifth percentile of non-AEA 2016 campus performance by campus type. Targets for non-AEA districts will correspond to about the fifth percentile of non-AEA 2016 campus performance across all campus types.

** 2016 Index 3 targets for non-AEA campuses will be set at about the fifth percentile of non-AEA 2016 campus performance by campus type. Targets for non-AEA districts will correspond to about the fifth percentile of non-AEA 2016 campus performance across all campus types.
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Index Targets for AEA Charter Districts and Campuses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016 Index Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Index 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA Charter</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Districts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA Campuses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 2016 Index 2 targets for both AEA charter districts and AEA campuses will be set at about the fifth percentile of AEA 2016 campus performance.

** 2016 Index 3 targets for both AEA charter districts and AEA campuses will be set at about the fifth percentile of AEA 2016 campus performance.

Rationale:

Index 1 – Keeping the 2016 Index 1 target at 60 (for non-AEA districts and campuses) and 35 (for AEA charter districts and campuses) recognizes the increased rigor of the accountability system introduced by the inclusion of STAAR A, STAAR Alternate 2, the increase in the STAAR performance standard, as well as the inclusion of grades 3–8 mathematics.

Index 2 – For 2016, set targets at the fifth percentile by campus type based on 2016 performance. Due to changes in writing assessments in grades 4 and 7, no STAAR progress measures will be available for grade 7 writing for 2016. Because of this, Index 2 scores will be based on progress outcomes for reading and mathematics only.

Index 3 – Setting the targets at the fifth percentile addresses the concern about setting a hard target given the unknown effect of including STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2.

Index 4 – Increasing the target for all components of Index 4 to 60 and keeping all remaining 2016 Index 4 targets the same as the 2015 targets recognizes the increased rigor of the accountability system introduced by the inclusion of STAAR A and grades 3–8 mathematics.
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- **Rating Labels.** The 2016 rating labels remain the same as those issued for 2015 accountability.
  - *Met Standard* – met the required performance index targets and other accountability rating criteria
  - *Improvement Required* – did not meet the required performance index targets or other accountability rating criteria
  - *Met Alternative Standard* – assigned to charter operators and alternative education campuses evaluated under alternative education accountability (AEA) provisions that met the required performance index targets and other accountability rating criteria
  - *Not Rated* – under certain circumstances, districts or campuses may not receive a rating

3. Performance Indices

The original design of each performance index remains the same as the prior year.

**Index 1: Student Achievement.** Provides a snapshot of performance across subjects, on both general and alternative assessments, at the satisfactory performance standard.

**Inclusion of English Language Learners (ELLs)**

- *ELLs taking STAAR Alternate 2 are included at the Level II standard, regardless of their number of years in U.S. schools.*
- *ELLs in their second or more year in U.S. schools whose years in U.S. schools exceed their ELL plan year are included at the STAAR satisfactory standard.*
- *ELLs with parental denial for instructional services who are in their second or more year in U.S. schools are included at the STAAR satisfactory standard.*
- *ELLs who take STAAR L and do not have an ELL progress measure are excluded.*

**Index 2: Student Progress.** Measures student progress and provides an opportunity for districts and campuses to receive credit for improving student performance independent of overall student achievement.

**Inclusion of English Language Learners (ELLs)**

- *ELLs taking STAAR Alternate 2 are included, regardless of their number of years in U.S. schools.*
- *ELLs in their second or more year in U.S. schools whose years in U.S. schools exceed their ELL plan year are included.*
- *ELLs with parental denial for instructional services who are in their second or more year in U.S. schools are included.*
- *ELLs who take STAAR L and do not have an ELL progress measure are excluded.*
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Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps. Emphasizes the academic achievement of economically disadvantaged students and the two lowest-performing racial/ethnic student groups.

Inclusion of English Language Learners (ELLs)
- **ELLs taking STAAR Alternate 2** are included at the satisfactory standard and Level III standard, regardless of their number of years in U.S. schools.
- **ELLs in their second or more year in U.S. schools whose years in U.S. schools exceed their ELL plan year** are included at the satisfactory standard and Level III standard.
- **ELLs with parental denial for instructional services who are in their second or more year in U.S. schools** are included at the satisfactory standard and Level III standard.
- **ELLs who take STAAR L** are excluded.

Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness. Emphasizes the role of elementary and middle schools in preparing students for the rigors of high school, and the importance of earning a high school diploma that provides students with the foundation necessary for success in college, the workforce, job training programs, or the military. Alternative procedures are provided for Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) campuses and charter districts serving at-risk students in alternative education programs.

Inclusion of English Language Learners (ELLs)
- **ELLs taking STAAR Alternate 2** are excluded.
- **ELLs in their second or more year in U.S. schools whose years in U.S. schools exceed their ELL plan year** are included at the final Level II standard.
- **ELLs with parental denial for instructional services who are in their second or more year in U.S. schools** are included at the final Level II standard.
- **ELLs who take STAAR L** are excluded.
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Graduation Plan

- **Graduation Plan Component and Foundation High School Plan (FHSP) Transition**  For 2016 accountability, two diploma-plan rates will be calculated as shown below; the one that gives the district or campus the most points for the graduation plan component of Index 4 will be used.

  **Rationale:** The Foundation High School Program (FHSP) will replace the Minimum (MHSP), Recommended (RHSP), and Distinguished Achievement (DAP) High School Programs for students who began grade 9 in 2014–15. Beginning with the class of 2018, all students will be required to select the FHSP. Until then, students may earn an MHSP, RHSP, or DAP diploma. During this transition period, this approach addresses the varying degrees to which FHSP graduation plans have been implemented across districts.

**Calculation that Excludes FHSP Students**

\[
\frac{(RHSP + DAP)}{(MHSP + RHSP + DAP)}
\]

**Calculation that Includes FHSP Students**

\[
\frac{(RHSP + DAP) + (FHSP-E + FHSP-DLA)}{(MHSP + RHSP + DAP) + (FHSP + FHSP-E + FHSP-DLA)}
\]

**Notes:**
- FHSP: Foundation High School Program (FHSP) without endorsement
- FHSP-E: FHSP with endorsement and no Distinguished Level of Achievement
- FHSP-DLA: FHSP with endorsement and Distinguished Level of Achievement
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Texas Success Initiative

- **TSI portion of postsecondary component** will include the results of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) assessment in the postsecondary component and give credit for every student who
  - meets the TSI requirement in reading on the TSI assessment, SAT, or ACT and
  - meets the TSI requirement in mathematics on the TSI assessment, SAT, or ACT

A student must meet the TSI requirement for both reading and mathematics but does not necessarily need to meet them on the same assessment.

Meeting the TSI requirement in writing on the TSI assessment or ACT will not be used for accountability in 2016 but will be reported.

With the inclusion of the TSI results, the postsecondary component evaluated in 2016 accountability for the 2014–15 graduates is as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>graduates meeting TSI criteria in both ELA/reading and mathematics (TSI, SAT, or ACT)</th>
<th>graduates who completed and earned credit for at least two advanced/dual-credit courses in the current or prior school year</th>
<th>graduates who were enrolled in a coherent sequence of CTE courses as part of a four-year plan of study to take two or more CTE courses for three or more credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Rationale:** The 2013–14 annual graduates were the last graduating class with TAKS results that could have been used in the college-readiness indicator of the postsecondary component. Beginning with the graduates from the 2014–15 school year, the postsecondary component will incorporate the results from the TSI assessment and continue to credit students who meet the TSI criteria on either the SAT or ACT assessments.